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‘Biodiversity measurement approaches for the financial sector: an overview & comparison on practical applications’

Webinar
1 April 2.00 - 3.00pm CET
Agenda

• 14.00 - 14:05 Welcome and opening
• 14:05 - 14:30 Overview of 6 different measurement approaches
• 14:30 - 14:55 Practitioner perspective on biodiversity measurement
• 14:55 - 15:00 The way forward and closing remarks
Welcome and opening

Thomas Verheye, Principal Advisor Green Finance and Investment, DG Environment of the European Commission
To watch the video message of Thomas Verheye visit the Finance for Biodiversity Foundation’s website at https://www.financeforbiodiversity.org/
Introduction to the initiative of the Guide

Anne-Marie Bor, Lead F@B Community and Coordinator
Finance for Biodiversity Foundation
Finance@Biodiversity Community
Part of EU Business@Biodiversity Platform

- **Finance@Biodiversity Community** with 30 financial institutions exchanging knowledge on biodiversity since 2017
- Initiator of Finance for Biodiversity Pledge
- Measuring biodiversity high on the agenda
- Collaborating with B@B WS Methods
Finance for Biodiversity Pledge Commitments

37 signatories call to action and collaborate on reversing nature loss
Assessing impact as one of the commitments

We, 26 financial institutions, representing over EURO 3 trillion in assets, call on global leaders during the 15th meeting of the Conference of the Parties (COP 15) to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) to agree on effective measures to reverse nature loss in this decade to ensure ecosystem resilience. As financial institutions we know that healthy societies, resilient economies and thriving businesses rely on nature. Together let’s protect, restore and sustainably manage our natural resources. We will make every effort to take our share of responsibility and contribute to the protection and restoration of biodiversity and ecosystems through our financing activities and investments.

We therefore commit to do the following by 2024 at the latest:

1. Collaboration and knowledge sharing
   We will collaborate and share knowledge on assessment methodologies, biodiversity-related metrics, targets and financing approaches for positive impact.

2. Engaging with companies
   We will incorporate criteria for biodiversity in our ESG policies, while engaging with companies to reduce their negative and increase positive impacts on biodiversity.

3. Assessing impact
   We will assess our financing activities and investments for significant positive and negative impacts on biodiversity and identify drivers of its loss.

4. Setting targets
   We will set and disclose targets based on the best available science to increase significant positive and reduce significant negative impacts on biodiversity.

5. Reporting publicly
   We will report annually and be transparent about the significant positive and negative contribution to global biodiversity goals linked to our financing activities and investments in our portfolios.
Guidance on the Finance for Biodiversity Pledge

This guidance document:
- Clarifies the 5 commitments
- Provides sources from several initiatives, experts and organizations
- Includes existing examples from the financial institutions themselves

> Guide on measurement approaches is
Introduction to the Guide

Anita de Horde, Advisor F@B Community Coordinator Finance for Biodiversity Foundation
A need for biodiversity measurement approaches, but many questions....
Guide with an overview on biodiversity measurement tools
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Sources we used for the Guide

EU Business & Biodiversity Platform Update Reports 1, 2 and 3

Tool developers
Contributors to the Guide

F@B Community & Finance for Biodiversity Pledge signatories

Brainstorm and conference calls between Nov 2020 - Jan 2021 with the following financial institutions:
Selected measurement approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tools</th>
<th>Developers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. CBF – <em>Corporate Biodiversity Footprint</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. BFFI – <em>Biodiversity Footprint Financial Institutions</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. STAR – <em>Species Threat Abatement and Restoration</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. GBSFI – <em>Global Biodiversity Score for Financial Institutions</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. BIA – <em>Biodiversity Impact Analytics</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Selection criteria**

- Are relevant to, and are currently explored or used by, the financial sector
- Include all main drivers of biodiversity loss
- Are scientifically robust

*Yearly updated*
Overview on measurement approaches

Organizational focus areas
- Balance sheet
- Portfolio
- Sector
- Index level
- Company
- Project/site level

Business/finance applications
- BA 1: Assessment of current performance
- BA 2: Assessment of future performance
- BA 3: Tracking progress to targets
- BA 4: Comparing options
- BA 5: Assessment / rating by third parties
- BA 7: Screening and assessment of opportunities
- BA 8: Biodiversity accounting
- BA 9: ESG screening and engagement

Asset categories
- Corporate loans
- Listed equity
- Private equity
- Corporate bonds
- Sovereign bonds
- Mortgages and real estate
- Impact funds
- Green bonds
- Project finance
- Commodity trade

Potential
- 0
Emerging
- 1-2
Mature
- 3-more

Quarterly updated

Page 10 in Guide!
Clarification on overview

Johan Lammerant, Methods workstream leader
EU B@B Platform and Arcadis
**Overview on measurement approaches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>CBF Iceberg DataLab &amp; I Care Consult</th>
<th>BFFI CREM and PRI Sustainability</th>
<th>STAR IUCN</th>
<th>GBSFI CDC Biodiversité</th>
<th>BIA Carbon 4 Finance &amp; CDC Biodiversité</th>
<th>ENCORE UNEP-WCMC, UNEP F, &amp; NCFA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pressures</strong></td>
<td>Land/sea use change</td>
<td>Direct exploitation</td>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>Pollution</td>
<td>Invasive Species</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coverage</strong></td>
<td>Impacts (on species and habitats)</td>
<td>Dependencies (ecosystem services)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Scope</strong></td>
<td>Scope 1</td>
<td>Scope 2</td>
<td>Scope 3 (upstream and/or downstream)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metric</strong></td>
<td>MSA (Mean Species Abundance)</td>
<td>PDF (Potentially Disappeared Fraction)</td>
<td>STAR (Risk of extinction)</td>
<td>Aggregate index</td>
<td>Monetization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data type</strong></td>
<td>State data</td>
<td>Pressures, resources and emissions data</td>
<td>Economic quantification of activities data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efforts</strong></td>
<td>Accessibility (Open-source vs Commercial)</td>
<td>Required expertise</td>
<td>Costs for hiring</td>
<td>Other costs</td>
<td>Time investment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**U**: User-derived  
**E**: Externally collected  
**M**: Modelled  
**EXT**: External expertise required;  
**T**: Training offered  
**L**: Low; **M**: Moderate; **H**: High
6 case studies

1. CBF Mining Company
2. CBF Portfolio agri-food companies
3. BFFI ASN Bank
4. STAR Bukit Tigapuluh rubber project
5. GBS BIA application with C4F
6. ENCORE Dutch Central Bank

“Evaluating the biodiversity impact of a corporate through the lens of a single metric, regardless of its merits, is a limited approach of the reality.

It should be complemented with engagement with the company and qualitative evaluations of its actions and mitigation initiatives.”
Trends in the evolving landscape of biodiversity measurement approaches

1. Moving towards more scientifically robust approaches
2. Being aligned with global biodiversity indicators
3. Recognition that the one and only biodiversity indicator doesn’t exist; tendency towards dashboard approach
4. Moving towards smart combination of tools
5. Measurement is one thing, but data collection and stakeholder involvement are essential too
6. Linking risks related to ecosystem degradation with financial risk
7. Increased interest in natural capital accounting approaches
Questions?
Practitioner perspective on measurement

Radoslav Georgiev (ESG Disclosure Lead),
ING Bank
Biodiversity-focused tools and methods
ING at a glance

ING is active in
More than 40 countries

Market leaders:
The Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg

Challenger countries:
Australia, Austria, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Italy, Spain

Growth markets:
Poland, Romania, Turkey, the Philippines, and our stakes in Asia

Wholesale Banking:
international network and global franchises

Primary customers 38.8 (year-end 2019)

Our employees 56,000 (year-end 2019)
Why biodiversity
Our stakeholder landscape is changing

| Regulators | ▪ The ECB issued a supervisory guide in May 2020 setting out its expectations for embedding environmental risk into developing and implementing strategy and risk management processes. The Guide covers **biodiversity risk**.  
▪ DNB, the Dutch central bank, released a study of the banking sector’s exposure to **physical and transition risk of biodiversity loss**. Other central banks may be considering similar initiatives.  
▪ Insurance supervisors are conducting an analysis of the **financial risks of biodiversity loss**, to help inform their response.  
▪ A Taskforce on **Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD)**, mirroring the objectives of the TCFD, will launch in January 2021. The governments of the Netherlands, Switzerland, UK and France are among the public supporters, in addition to dozens of financial institutions. The TCFD was housed under the FSB, but it is unclear where the TNFD will sit. |
| EU | ▪ In June 2020, the EC adopted a new **Biodiversity Strategy** for 2030 and an associated Action Plan which set legally binding targets for restoration of carbon-rich habitats which will be among the top five key fiscal recovery policies.  
▪ The EU will start developing a Biodiversity and Ecosystems **taxonomy**— one of its 6 environmental objectives. Already in place is a “Do No Significant Harm” biodiversity screening criterion for the existing climate taxonomy. There may be Capital Requirements Regulation provisions for financing activities aligned with the taxonomies.  
▪ The EU will mobilise €20 billion a year for biodiversity (until 2030) through EU funds, including a natural-capital and circular economy fund under Invest EU. A significant part of the 25% of the EU budget for climate action will go to biodiversity-positive activities.  
▪ EU’s Farm to Fork strategy, Sustainable Use of Pesticides Directive (Chemicals, Food&Agri sectors) are to be revised with **biodiversity objectives**. |
| International developments | ▪ The Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) Conference of the Parties (COP 15) in Q4 2021 will set a **post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework** of goals, outlining actions for countries.  
▪ Much like the Paris Agreement, CBD COP 15 is expected to **trigger corporate commitments for alignment**. Initiatives committing banks and asset managers (**FfB, B4N**) are already starting to appear and alignment tools (**ENCORE**) are starting to emerge. |
| Links with climate | ▪ Being a climate action leader provides a good base to act on biodiversity risks and opportunities, and vice versa. There are opportunities for climate mitigation and adaptation in nature-based solutions.  
▪ Inaction may expose banks to **reputation risk** and risk of NGO activism or discredit banks’ climate leadership. |
| Peers | ▪ **Banks have started to commit** to biodiversity action and new announcements in support of the CBD global goals are expected in 2021 and 2022. There will be peer pressure to act. |
What do we do to protect biodiversity
Our approach to sectors with biodiversity impacts

A mix of carrots and sticks...

**Exclusions**
- Sectors (e.g. coal mining);
- Activities (e.g. Arctic O&G, mountain top removal, commercial whaling);
- Areas (IUCN, Ramsar wetlands, UNESCO World Heritage sites)

**Acceptance thresholds**
- Products/activities with negative impacts (e.g. pesticides - REACH SVHC)

**Targets and action plans**
- Thematic engagements (e.g. soft commodities);
- Improvement targets (e.g. 100% RSPO certification)

**Finance for positive impact**
- Green loans and bonds (LMA GLP, ICMA GBP, CBI);
- Green innovations (Circular Economy, Green scale-up investments)

**Sustainability-linked finance**
- Linked loans (LMA Sustainability-linked Loan Principles);
- Linked bonds (ICMA Sustainability-Linked Bond Principles);
- Rating and/or KPI-based

...Transition finance...
Tools and methodologies
Our needs

Portfolio-level
- Segment a portfolio into high/low risk/opportunity
- Identify “sweet spots” - metric capturing positive environmental and economic impact (e.g. raw material use)
- Benchmarking options – best practice, bottom/top quartile, etc
- Alignment options (e.g. 2050 CBD goals)

Thematic
- Identify key solutions themes (e.g. regenerative farming) and map value chain exposure (e.g. equipment producers, farmers, retailers, etc)

Company-level
- Identify key engagement topics
- Clarify link between the environmental impact and the company’s performance
- Identify unsustainable activities/products
## Tools we’re using or testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BFFI</td>
<td>The Biodiversity Footprint for Financial Institutions (BFFI) methodology was co-created by Dutch bank ASN and a local consultancy. ING is looking into this approach. In a pilot, ING applied BFFI to assess a renewable energy project and to create a retail investment benchmark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBAT</td>
<td>IBAT integrates three key spatial data sets (the <a href="#">World Database of Key Biodiversity Areas</a>, the <a href="#">World Database on Protected Areas</a> and the <a href="#">IUCN Red List of Threatened Species</a>) to generate location-specific biodiversity data. ING uses IBAT to assess the impacts of financed projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENCORE</td>
<td>ENCORE enables users to visualise how the economy depends on nature and how environmental change creates risks for businesses. Starting from a business sector, ecosystem service, or natural capital asset, ENCORE can be used to start exploring natural capital risks. ING has joined a pilot to test ENCORE for portfolio-level analysis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRIPT</td>
<td>SCRIPT contains two tools, one allowing financial institutions to benchmark their policies on deforestation against their peers, and one allowing them to assess how they may be exposed to deforestation risk in their investment portfolios. ING is testing comparable tools (e.g. IFC’s GMAP tool).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trase.Finance</td>
<td>Trase.Finance builds on Trase's supply chain mapping capabilities, linking the trade of commodities that drive deforestation to financial markets worldwide. ING uses Trase.Finance to assess the environmental risks of soft commodity traders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you!
Practitioner perspective on measurement

Jean-Guillaume Peladan (Head of Environmental Strategy), Sycomore Asset Management
Sycomore AM’s practitioner experience in integrating biodiversity into Asset Management: NEC and CBF case studies

April 1st, 2021

Webinar on biodiversity measurement approaches for the financial sector

Jean-Guillaume Péladan

Head of Environmental Strategy, Sycomore AM
Board Member of the French Sustainable Investment Forum
Our investment philosophy

A global and integrated approach, covering all the challenges of sustainability

• Since 2015, a more structured stakeholder approach, via the ESG model SPICE

• In 2015, starting to implement a Natural Capital Strategy and to invest in R&D on the Net Environmental Contribution, NEC, with 2 expert consultancies

• Since 2020, joint work on a quantitative biodiversity metric with AXA IM, BNP Paribas AM and Mirova and selection of the Corporate Biodiversity Footprint, CBF
First trap: overestimating carbon footprint, as use in finance

A question of greenhouse gas scope and denominator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alphabet Google</th>
<th>zalando</th>
<th>ALSTOM</th>
<th>VEOLIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INFORMATION</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TECHNOLOGY</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ONLINE</strong></td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLOTHES RETAIL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPORTS CARS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAINS, SUBWAYS, RAILWAYS SYSTEMS</strong></td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1316</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WATER, WASTE AND ENERGY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Carbon Footprint</strong></th>
<th>Tons eq.CO₂/year/M€ EV</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>114</th>
<th>1316</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carbon Intensity</strong></td>
<td>Tons eq.CO₂/M€ revenues</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>1124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: greenhouse gas (Kyoto Protocol referenced GHG) emissions of **scope 1 + scope 2 + scope 3 upstream** in tons CO₂ eq. per year and per M€ of Enterprise Value (EV) and M€ of annual revenues, data as of January 29th, 2021, from Trucost and Factset; example also presented in the Green Finance Handbook of the French SIF in 2018, see https://www.frenchsif.org/isr-esg/wp-content/uploads/UK_Executive_Summary_13nov2018.pdf
Second trap: carbon only approach

What does a science-based carbon footprint tell us?

A question of environmental scope

**CARBON FOOTPRINT**
(kg eq.CO$_2$/ liter)

Existing indicators, such as the carbon footprint or E ratings, do not reflect on the transition risk, nor on climate risk or on issues beyond carbon, such as damages related to waste, air quality, water or biodiversity.

Source: carbon footprint of packaging according to the Ecoinvent greenhouse gas emissions factor and the ADEME FOODGES database, Quantis calculations, 2018.
The need for holistic integration beyond carbon and including biodiversity

The question is no longer if environmental challenges and planetary boundaries matter to investors, but how quickly and reliably the finance industry can integrate them!

« Earth Overshoot Day has moved from late September in 2000 to August 22\textsuperscript{nd} in 2020 »\textsuperscript{(2)}

23\% of global mortality is due to environmental damage, 12.6 million persons annually or 34,500 per day \textsuperscript{(1)}

« The 6\textsuperscript{th} mass extinction of animal species is underestimated »\textsuperscript{(3)}

\textsuperscript{(1)} Whole Health Organization, March 2016, 2012 figures: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/204585/1/9789241565196_eng.pdf
\textsuperscript{(2)} Global Footprint Network: https://www.overshootday.org/
## Integrating key environmental issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CLIMATE</th>
<th>RESOURCE WASTE</th>
<th>BIODIVERSITY</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>AIR QUALITY</th>
<th>EXAMPLES OF SPECIFIC KPIs &amp; CERTIFICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>- Type of agriculture, fishery and farming (intensive vs organic, …)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- GHG content and water footprint per kg of nutrient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Palm Oil usage (RSPO certification level)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Forestry and wood certifications (PEFC, FSC, SFI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- APUR, Ecolabel, Blue Angel…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Type of extraction of fossil fuels and over 2°C carbon budget for fossil fuels from Mcglade, C., &amp; Ekins, P., 2015, Nature, 517, p187-190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- gCO₂e/kWh…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Species depletion (Nb/KWh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Passenger mobility: CO₂e, NOₓ and fine particles per passenger.km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Freight: CO₂e, NOₓ and fine particles per ton.km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Energy Intensity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Carbon content of materials and constructive solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Construction and operation certifications, such a BREAM, LEED, BBCA, HQE, Passiv Haus, etc…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- ReCiPe method with ecosystem quality endpoint from Ecoinvent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Share of fertilizers and pesticides in chemicals production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Product reliability and lifespan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Higg MSI impact score for textile from Sustainable Apparel Coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- % of input with recycled materials</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Key issues for the impact domain

Important issue, but hard to quantify (lack of reliable data)

- A multi-dimensional approach for an holistic view of the net environmental impact

Source: NEC 1.0, Sycomore AM, Quantis and I Care&Consult, October 2019.
Delivering manageable results

Source: NEC 1.0, calculated by Sycomore AM, updated as of July 2019, based on 2018 data.
The Consortium for Biodiversity Footprint

A joint initiative of 4 Asset Managers sharing a common tendering process on 7 requirements:

- **Impact measurement**: the methodology must provide a “physical” indicator (e.g.: km2 mean species abundance, potentially disappeared fraction of species, etc.) - “Lifecycle” approach: the methodology must factor in the entire supply chain from product use to end-of-life.

- **Sector estimates**: sector assessment grids should make estimates tailored to the specificities of each sector possible.

- **Ease of use**, for a variety of purposes: communicating about impact on biodiversity, providing more extensive reporting, etc.

- **Flexibility and transparency**: the methodology must be compatible with the public taxonomies and internal environmental assessment systems already in use, regardless of whether they’re proprietary or open source.

- **Aggregation and communication**: the data provided must simplify portfolio performance assessment in relation to an index.

- **With large application scope**: the approach must be applicable to companies active in the main market indices (listed equities and fixed income funds). Ideally the method should be compatible with other asset classes (listed and unlisted equities, fixed income funds, infrastructure, real estate, etc.).

- **And financial materiality**: companies’ levels of exposure to the challenges presented by biodiversity must be assessed in addition to physical impact.

... and 3 key principles adopted by 30 investors worldwide for methodological approach:

- Based on life cycle assessment and capturing negative physical impacts on biodiversity as well as positive impacts,

- That can be aggregated at company, portfolio and index level across a wide range of assets,

- Transparent and based on the large body of existing open source data and publications by issuers of financial securities.

Leading to the selection of the Corporate Biodiversity Footprint, CBF

A collaborative and productive journey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>January 28th - May 7th</th>
<th>May 29th</th>
<th>June 12th</th>
<th>July 13th - August 19th</th>
<th>September 1st</th>
<th>Q4 2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call for Expression of Interest</td>
<td>Preselection</td>
<td>Oral presentation to the Jury</td>
<td>Preparation of final offers by 3 finalists</td>
<td>Selection of the CBF</td>
<td>Contracting for 3-year</td>
<td>Deployment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Support of the independent scientific committee*

- Joint press release #1
- Responses from 14 candidates, mainly consortiums based in six countries

- Joint press release on May 28th
- 7 preselected finalists were invited to an oral
- 3 finalists were invited to present their best and final

- Joint press release on May 28th
- 3 finalists considerably revised their proposals in terms of price, timeframe, detailed deliverables and transparency

- Iceberg Data Lab consortium
- Common contractual base for consortium members, opened to additional members

- 30 investors representing over €6 trillion signed the investor statement on biodiversity
- 2 Steering Committees held
- First Data set delivered
- Planning on track

* Scientific committee composed of 4 independent experts, Julie Raynaud (independent consultant), Katie Leach (UNEP-WCMC), Hugo Bluet (WWF France) and Guillaume Sainteny (French Foundation for Biodiversity Research)
Questions?
Finance sector

Jessica Smith, Ecosystem Lead, UNEP FI
Next steps

- **Quarterly update** of maturity levels
- F@B Community and FfB Pledge active signatories will continue to **share practices** and consolidate lessons learned
- **Yearly update** of complete Guide
- Seeking **effective collaboration** on measurement and data with other initiatives, to be launched soon with the PRI and UNEP FI: **Overview of Finance and Biodiversity initiatives**
Thanks!

You can find us at:
info@financeforbiodiversity.org
(johan.lammerant@arcadis.com)